Basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma are two of the most common cutaneous tumors seen by pathologists. In the large majority of cases, the distinction between these two tumors is readily made on the basis of standard H&E morphology. However, many of us see cases from time to time that for one reason or another (minuscule biopsy, mishandled specimen, crushed beyond recognition, dried out, poorly fixed, etc., etc.), it is difficult to know for certain whether one is dealing with a squamous carcinoma or a basal cell carcinoma. This month, we discuss several immunostains that can be of utility in approaching this problem.

It is worth mentioning that both basal cell carcinoma and cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma characteristically express strong and diffuse high molecular weight cytokeratin, cytokeratin 5 (or cytokeratin 5/6) and nuclear p63, so the absence of staining with these markers (assuming adequate tissue and technique of course) should lead you to consider another diagnosis.

**EMA** is a useful antibody for this problem, since basal cell carcinomas are negative for EMA, although occasionally lumina associated with sebaceous differentiation in these tumors may show EMA positivity. In contrast, most squamous cell carcinomas of the skin will have substantial EMA immunoreactivity.

**Ber-EP4** is also a useful marker, as basal cell carcinomas are typically positive for this marker, unlike cutaneous squamous carcinoma. Interestingly, non-cutaneous squamous carcinomas (e.g., pulmonary squamous carcinoma) may express Ber-EP4, so conceivably reactivity of Ber-EP4 in a known cutaneous squamous tumor might suggest the possibility of metastatic squamous carcinoma, although I do not...
know of any published reports that have specifically addressed that question.

Interestingly, **smooth muscle actin** (SMA) has been found to be expressed in a significant number of basal cell carcinomas of the skin (13 of 17 cases in one study). Indeed, we have observed strong SMA reactivity in a number of basal cell carcinomas that we have stained, although the frequency of reactivity is not as high in our hands as in some published series. Cutaneous squamous carcinomas are negative for SMA.

**BCL-2** has been reported by some authors to be useful in this situation, since basal cell carcinomas are typically diffusely positive for this marker. Cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas are generally negative, although some authors describe focal positivity enough to 26% of cutaneous squamous carcinomas.

In summary, when faced with the differential diagnosis of cutaneous basal cell carcinoma versus cutaneous squamous carcinoma, a reasonable first approach would be to employ immunostains for EMA and Ber-EP4. If these results are not diagnostic, immunostains for SMA and BCL-2 would be worth a try. Again, if the tumor in question does not show strong high molecular weight cytokeratin, cytokeratin 5, cytokeratin 5/6, and nuclear p63, consideration of another diagnosis would be prudent. Results of expected staining in these tumors are listed in table below.

### Expected Immunophenotype:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EMA</th>
<th>Ber-EP4</th>
<th>SMA</th>
<th>BCL-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal Cell ca</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+ or -</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous ca</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- or focal+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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